	Case 3:20-cv-05967-RJB Docume	ont 25	Filed 01/12	/21	Page 1 of 1/
			1 1100 04/12	/ 2 1	
1			HONORA	ABL	E ROBERT J. BRYAN
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	UNITED STATES	DISTR	RICT COURT	I	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA				OMA
9	PORT OF VANCOUVER USA, a Washington				
10	Port District,	NO.	3:20-CV-059	67	
11	Plaintiff,				RDING DISCOVERY
12	v.				LY STORED D [PROPOSED] ORDER
13	HDR ENGINEERING, INC., a Nebraska	NOT	E ON MOT	ION	CALENDAR:
14	corporation, SMITH-MONROE GRAY ENGINEERS, INC., an Oregon corporation,	APR	RIL 12, 2021		
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	The nextice banches stimulate to the fe	11			uling the discourse of
18	The parties hereby stipulate to the following provisions regarding the discovery of				rding the discovery of
19	electronically stored information ("ESI") in this matter:				
20	A. General Principles				
21	1. An attorney's zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting				promised by conducting
22	discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate				
23	in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and				
24	contributes to the risk of sanctions.	-	*		-
25	control to the fish of suffetions.				
26					
	AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY		A T	TORN	ROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. IEYS AT LAW

524 Second Avenue, Suite 500

Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308

{04172527.DOCX;2 }

[PROPOSED] ORDER - 1

2. As provided in LCR 26(f), the proportionality standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) must be applied in each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the application of the proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible.

B. ESI Disclosures

Within 30 days of entry of this Order, or at a later time if agreed to by the parties, each party shall disclose:

1. <u>Custodians.</u> The five custodians most likely to have discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, connection to the instant litigation, and the type of the information under the custodian's control.

2. <u>Non-custodial Data Sources.</u> A list of non-custodial data sources (*e.g.*, shared drives, servers), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.

3. <u>Third-Party Data Sources.</u> A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (*e.g.*, third-party email providers, mobile device providers, cloud storage) and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not) able to preserve information stored in the third-party data source.

4. <u>Inaccessible Data.</u> A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to specifically identify the data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B).

C. ESI Discovery Procedures

1. <u>On-site inspection of electronic media.</u> Such an inspection shall not be required absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by agreement of the parties.

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 2 CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308

1

2. Search methodology. The parties shall timely confer to attempt to reach agreement on appropriate search terms and queries, file type and date restrictions, data sources (including custodians), and other appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodologies, before any such effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the appropriateness of the search methodology. Agreement on a search methodology does not relieve a party of its obligation under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to conduct a reasonable search and produce all relevant and responsive documents of which a party is aware, regardless of whether the contain search terms of or some other search methodology agreed to by the parties or ordered by the Court. Discovery requests shall govern the scope of documents to be produced, subject to any agreements reached during the parties' conferral, and search terms do not supplant discovery requests. To the extent a party is aware of non-duplicative documents that are relevant, responsive, non-privileged, and reasonably accessible, such documents will be produced regardless of whether they contain search terms or some other search methodology agreed to by the parties or ordered by the Court

a.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Prior to running searches:

i. The producing party shall disclose the data sources (including custodians), search terms and queries, any file type and date restrictions, and any other methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain responsive and discoverable information. The producing party may provide unique hit counts for each search query.

ii. The requesting party is entitled to, within 14 days of the producing party's disclosure, add no more than 10 search terms or queries to those disclosed by the producing party absent a showing of good cause or agreement of the parties.

iii. The following provisions apply to search terms / queries of the requesting party. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries, such as

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 3 CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308

Case 3:20-cv-05967-RJB Document 25 Filed 04/12/21 Page 4 of 14

product and company names, generally should be avoided. A conjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (*e.g.*, "computer" and "system") narrows the search and shall count as a single search term. A disjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (*e.g.*, "computer" or "system") broadens the search, and thus each word or phrase shall count as a separate search term unless they are variants of the same word. The producing party may identify each search term or query returning overbroad results demonstrating the overbroad results and a counter proposal correcting the overbroad search or query. A search that returns more than 20 gigabytes of data, excluding Microsoft PowerPoint files, audio files, and similarly large file types, is presumed to be overbroad.

b. After production: After the producing party has substantially completed the production of documents responsive to a request, it shall notify the receiving party of such; the responding party may thereafter request no more than 10 additional search terms or queries. The immediately preceding section (Section C(2)(a)(iii)) applies.

c. Upon reasonable request, a party shall disclose information relating to network design, the types of databases, database dictionaries, the access control list and security access logs and rights of individuals to access the system and specific files and applications, the ESI document retention policy, organizational chart for information systems personnel, or the backup and systems recovery routines, including, but not limited to, tape rotation and destruction/overwrite policy.

3. <u>Format.</u>

a. ESI will be produced to the requesting party with searchable text. Each requesting party may specify in its requests for production the acceptable format (i.e. one compatible with its e-discovery platform). Acceptable formats include, but are not limited to,

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 4 CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308

1

2

3

4

5

Case 3:20-cv-05967-RJB Document 25 Filed 04/12/21 Page 5 of 14

native files, multi-page TIFFs (with a companion OCR or extracted text file), single-page TIFFs (only with load files for e-discovery software that includes metadata fields identifying natural document breaks and also includes companion OCR and/or extracted text files), and searchable PDF. If a producing party's platform cannot process documents in the requested format (e.g., Relativity cannot process multi-page TIFF files), then the producing party shall notify the requesting party as soon as feasible, and the requesting party will coordinate with producing party on an alternative compatible format with both parties' platforms.

b. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, files that are not easily converted to image format, such as spreadsheet, database, and drawing files, shall be produced in native format. Native files shall be produced with a link in the NATIVEPATH field, along with extracted text (where extracted text is available) and applicable metadata fields set forth elsewhere herein. For each native file produced, the production will include a TIFF image slipsheet indicating the production number of the native file and stating, "File Provided Natively."

c. Each document image file shall be marked with a unique number (Bates Number). File names should not be more than twenty characters long or contain spaces. When a text-searchable image file is produced, the producing party must preserve the integrity of the underlying ESI, *i.e.*, the original formatting, the metadata (as noted below) and, where applicable, the revision history. Nothing in this provision entitles a party to overwrite a document's filename with a Bates-number.

d. If a document is more than one page, the unitization of the document and any attachments and/or affixed notes shall be maintained as they existed in the original document.

e. The parties shall produce their information in the following format: singlepage images and associated multi-page text files containing extracted text or with appropriate

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 5 CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308

1

I software load files containing all information required by the litigation support system used by
 2 the receiving party.

f. The full text of each electronic document shall be extracted ("Extracted Text") and produced in a text file. The Extracted Text shall be provided in searchable ASCII text format (or Unicode text format if the text is in a foreign language) and shall be marked with a unique Bates Number (*e.g.*, the unique Bates Number of the first page of the corresponding production version of the document followed by its file extension).

4. <u>De-duplication.</u> The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across custodial and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party, and the duplicate custodian information removed during the de-duplication process tracked in a duplicate/other custodian field in the database load file.

5. <u>Email Threading.</u> The parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and need only produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members and may exclude lesser inclusive copies—unless the lesser inclusive copy includes attachments to an email, in which case, it must be produced. Upon reasonable request, the producing party will produce a less inclusive copy.

6. <u>Metadata fields.</u> If the requesting party seeks metadata, the parties agree that only the following metadata fields need be produced, and only to the extent it is reasonably accessible and non-privileged: document type; custodian and duplicate custodians (or storage location if no custodian); author/from; recipient/to, cc and bcc; title/subject; email subject; file name; file size; file extension; original file path; date and time created, sent, modified and/or received; and hash value. Additionally, two time-related metadata fields should be produced: (1) Time Zone (a description of the time zone in which the document was processed such as "Pacific Standard Time

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 6 CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308

(PST)"; and (2) UTC_Offset (difference in hours and minutes from Coordinated Universal Time for a particular place and date). The list of metadata type is intended to be flexible and may be changed by agreement of the parties, particularly in light of advances and changes in technology, vendor, and business practices.

7. <u>Processing Specifications.</u> When processing ESI for production as an electronic image, if a native file originally had track changes, comments, or other collaborative change features turned on, the TIFF file will display those changes in the converted image file; the producing party shall set imaging settings to force off "Auto Date" and force on: hidden columns or rows, hidden worksheets, speaker notes, track changes, and comments.

8. <u>Parent-Child Relationships.</u> For ESI only, Parent-child relationships (association between an attachment and its parent document) shall be preserved. The attachment(s) shall be produced adjacent to the parent document, in terms of Bates numbers, with the first attachment being named with the next sequential number after the parent, and any additional attachment(s) sequentially numbered after that first attachment.

9. <u>Hard-Copy Documents.</u> If the parties elect to produce hard-copy documents in an electronic format, the production of hard-copy documents will include a cross-reference file with metadata that indicates document breaks and sets forth the custodian or custodian/location associated with each produced document. The following objective coding fields should be provided, if applicable: (1) beginning Bates number, (2) ending Bates number, (3) page count, and (4) source location/custodian. The documents should be logically unitized. The parties will have their vendors unitize documents correctly and will commit to address situations where there are improperly unitized documents. Hard-copy documents will be scanned using Optical Character Recognition ("OCR") technology and searchable ASCII text files will be produced (or

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 7 CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308

25 are improperly
26 Character Reco
AGREEMEN ELECTRONIC [PROPOSED]
{04172527.DOCX;2 }

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Case 3:20-cv-05967-RJB Document 25 Filed 04/12/21 Page 8 of 14

Unicode text format if the text is in a foreign language), unless the producing party can show that the cost would outweigh the usefulness of scanning (for example, when the condition of the paper is not conducive to scanning and will not result in accurate or reasonably useable/searchable ESI). The OCR software should maximize text quality over process speed. Settings such as "autoskewing" and "auto-rotation" should be turned on during the OCR process. Each file will be named with a unique Bates Number (e.g., the unique Bates Number of the first page of the corresponding production version of the document followed by its file extension).

10. Color. The parties shall produce documents in color where color is reasonably helpful to understanding or viewing the document (e.g., charts and graphics, tracked changes, or other highlights). Color images should be produced as single page JPG files at 300dpi with JPG compression and a high-quality setting as to not degrade the original image.

D.

Preservation of ESI

The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation, as expressed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(e), to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession, custody, or control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control.

2. The parties will supplement their disclosures in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) with discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 8

CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308

1

2

3

Case 3:20-cv-05967-RJB Document 25 Filed 04/12/21 Page 9 of 14

1	where that data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under Sections			
2	(D)(3) or (E)(1)-(2)).			
3	3. Abs	ent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories		
4	of ESI need not be	preserved:		
5	1.	Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics.		
6 7	2.	Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data		
, 8		that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.		
9	3.	On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache,		
10		cookies, and the like.		
11	4.	Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as		
12		last-opened dates (see also Section (E)(5)).		
13 14	5.	Back-up data that are duplicative of data that are more accessible		
14 15		elsewhere.		
16	6.	Server, system or network logs.		
17	7.	Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the		
18		systems in use.		
19	8.	Electronic data (e.g., email, calendars, contact data, and notes) sent to or		
20		from mobile devices (e.g., iPhone, iPad, Android devices), provided that		
21 22		a copy of all such electronic data is automatically saved in real time		
23		elsewhere (such as on a server, laptop, desktop computer, or "cloud"		
24		storage).		
25				
26				

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 9 CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

E. Privilege

1. A producing party shall create a privilege log of all documents fully withheld from production on the basis of a privilege or protection, unless otherwise agreed or excepted by this Agreement and Order. Privilege logs shall include a unique identification number for each document and the basis for the claim (attorney-client privileged or work-product protection). For ESI, the privilege log may be generated using available metadata, including author/recipient or to/from/cc/bcc names; the subject matter or title; and date created. Should the available metadata provide insufficient information for the purpose of evaluating the privilege claim asserted, the producing party shall include such additional information as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Privilege logs will be produced to all other parties no later than 30 days after delivering a production unless an earlier deadline is agreed to by the parties.

2. Redactions need not be logged: (1) so long as the basis for the redaction is clear on the redacted document; and (2) the date and author(s)/attendee(s)/participant(s) of the redacted material are visible.

3. With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the filing of the complaint, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.

4. Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).

5. Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of any documents in this proceeding shall not, for the purposes of this proceeding or any other federal or state proceeding, constitute a waiver by the producing party of any privilege applicable to those documents, including the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product protection, or any other privilege or protection recognized by law. Information produced in discovery that is protected as privileged

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 10

CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308

ELECTRONI [PROPOSED]

1	or work product shall be immediately returned to the producing party, and its production shall not			
2	constitute a waiver of such protection.			
3	DATED this 12th day of April, 2021.			
4	CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S.			
5				
6	s/Alan D. Schuchman			
7	Alan D. Schuchman, WSBA No. 45979 E-mail: aschuchman@cairncross.com	-		
8	Patricia A. Laughman, WSBA No. 46716 E-mail: plaughman@cairncross.com			
9	524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, WA 98104-2323			
10	Telephone: (206) 587-0700 Facsimile: (206) 587-2308			
11	Attorneys for Defendant HDR Engineering, Inc.			
12	SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.			
13				
14	<u>s/Noah Jarrett</u>	_		
15	Noah Jarrett, WSBA No. 31117 E-mail: njarrett@schwabe.com			
16	Kelly Walsh, WSBA No. 35718 E-mail: kwalsh@schwabe.com			
17	Paige B. Spratt, WSBA No. 44428 E-mail: pspratt@schwabe.com			
18	1211 SW 5th Avenue, Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204			
19	Telephone: (503) 222-9981 Attorneys for Plaintiff Port of Vancouver			
20	WOOD SMITH HENNING & BERMAN LLP			
21				
22	s/Timothy Repass			
23	Timothy Repass, WSBA No. 38373 E-mail: trepass@wshblaw.com	-		
24	520 Pike Street, Suite 1525 Seattle, WA 98101			
25	Telephone: (206) 204-6802 Attorneys for Defendant Smith Monroe Gray			
26				
	AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 11 {04172527.DOCX;2 } CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308			

Case 3:20-cv-05967-RJE	Document 25	Filed 04/12/21	Page 12 of 14
------------------------	-------------	----------------	---------------

DONOVAN HATEM LLP

s/David A. Rich

	s/David A. Rich	
4	Patricia B. Gary, pro hac vice	
5	E-mail: pgary@donovanhatem.com David A. Rich, <i>pro hac vice</i>	
6	E-mail: drich@donovanhatem.com 53 State Street, 8 th Floor	
6	53 State Street, 8 th Floor Boston, MA 02109	
7	Telephone: (617) 406-4518	
8	Attorneys for Defendant Smith Monroe Gray Engineers, Inc.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
20		
	AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 12 CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308	

{04172527.DOCX;2 }

	Case 3:20-cv-05967-RJB Document 25 Filed 04/12/21 Page 13 of 14
1	ORDER
2	
2 3	Based on the foregoing, IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	DATED:
5	
6	The Honorable Robert J. Bryan
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 13 CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308
	{04172527,DOCX:2 }

Cer	rtificate	of Sei	rvice

I, Kacie Coselman, certify under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of
 Washington that on April 12, 2021, I electronically filed this document entitled AGREEMENT
 REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND
 [PROPOSED] ORDER using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all attorneys of record.

DATED this 12th day of April, 2021, at Seattle, Washington.

Vacio (10

Kacie Coselman, Legal Assistant CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, WA 98104-2323 Telephone: (206) 254-4442 Facsimile: (206) 587-2308 E-mail: kcoselman@cairncross.com

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER - 14 CAIRNCROSS & HEMPELMANN, P.S. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 524 Second Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98104-2323 office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308